



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Carolina Barraza  
DOCKET NO.: 20-33680.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 12-14-127-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carolina Barraza, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$6,900  
**IMPR.:** \$24,859  
**TOTAL:** \$31,759

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,666 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 41 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 5,520 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior ranging in size from 3,922 to 4,179 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 53 to 55

years old. The comparables each have a basement finished with either an apartment or a recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$29,233 to \$32,755 or from \$7.26 to \$7.84 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,502 or \$7.69 per square foot of building area.

The appellant's submission included a copy of the "Cook County Board of Review" final decision dated April 26, 2021 which disclosed the subject has a total assessment of \$31,759. The total assessment reflects a land assessment of \$6,900 and an improvement assessment of \$24,859 or \$9.32 per square foot of building area, per the appellant's petition.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing a different total assessment for the subject than the final decision provided by the appellant. Nevertheless, in support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables which are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story, multi-family class 2-11 buildings of masonry exterior construction with each having 2,666 square feet of building area. The buildings are each 41 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and either a 2-car or a 4-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,250 to \$42,908 or from \$11.72 to \$16.09 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables which are located on the same block and street as the subject. The comparables are identical to the subject in design, age, building size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,250 to \$42,908 or from \$11.72 to \$16.09 per square foot of building area. The subject property's improvement assessment of \$24,859 or \$9.32 per square foot of building area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their larger building sizes when compared to the subject. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

October 15, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Corolina Barraza, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602