

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:Lauren WaninskiDOCKET NO.:20-33633.001-R-1 through 20-33633.002-R-1PARCEL NO.:See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lauren Waninski, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
20-33633.001-R-1	15-34-406-011-0000	11,782	14,759	\$26,541
20-33633.002-R-1	15-34-415-001-0000	11,801	9,839	\$21,640

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,804 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 106 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and central air conditioning. The property has two parcels that contain approximately 36,283 square foot site and is located in Brookfield, Proviso Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,252 to 2,549 square feet of living area. The comparables are

95 to 104 years old and have full basements, one of which is finished with a recreation room. Each comparable is reported to have central air conditioning and three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,978 to \$29,616 or from \$11.62 to \$12.97 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The appellant submitted the board of review decision for parcel 15-34-415-001-0000 that disclosed a total assessment of \$21,640. The appellant further disclosed this parcel has an improvement assessment of \$9,839.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" reporting a total assessment for subject parcel 15-34-406-011-0000 of \$26,541. The subject's combined total improvement assessment for both parcels is \$24,598 or \$13.64 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code and within ¼ of a mile from the subject or same subarea as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04, 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,837 to 1,939 square feet of living area. The comparables are 91 to 107 years old. The comparables have full basements, one of which is finished with a recreation room. Comparable #3 has central air conditioning. Comparable #2 has a fireplace. Each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,535 to \$29,525 or from \$13.84 to \$15.23 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which overall are most similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,535 to \$29,525 or from \$13.84 to \$15.23 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24,598 or \$13.64 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 18, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lauren Waninski, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602