

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Timothy Keel
DOCKET NO.: 20-33290.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-15-417-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Timothy Keel, the appellant, by attorney John W. Zapala, of the Law Offices of John Zapala, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$6,187 **IMPR.:** \$22,852 **TOTAL:** \$29,039

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,309 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 34 years old. Features of the home include a basement, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,250 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends both overvaluation and assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation, argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.72 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 9,854 to 14,187 square feet of land area and are improved with class 2-78 homes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,069 to 2,642 square

feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 31 to 37 years old. Each home has a basement, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. Three homes have central air conditioning. The comparable sold from May 2017 to January 2020 for prices ranging from \$270,000 to \$325,000 or from \$102.20 to \$157.08 per square foot of living area, including land.

For the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.78 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-78 homes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,381 to 2,786 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 34 or 35 years old. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Four homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,701 to \$23,666 or from \$8.15 to \$8.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$29,039. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$290,390 or \$125.76 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$22,852 or \$9.90 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 homes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,005 to 2,076 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 29 or 35 years old. Each home has a basement, a fireplace, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Two homes have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,756 to \$23,902 or from \$11.23 to \$11.56 per square foot of living area. Comparable #3 has a 10,000 square foot site and sold in June 2018 for a price of \$308,000 or \$153.54 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The record contains a total of six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 and the board of review's comparable #3, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than the other comparables in this record and/or have central air conditioning which is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #5, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date and are relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and features. These comparables sold for prices of \$286,000 and \$325,000 or \$130.65 and \$157.08 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$290,390 or \$125.76 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the best comparable sales in terms of total market value and below the two best comparables on a price per square foot basis. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4, which have central air conditioning unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$23,307 and \$23,902 or \$11.23 and \$11.56 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,852 or \$9.90 per square foot of living area falls below the best two comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u>	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Timothy Keel, by attorney: John W. Zapala Law Offices of John Zapala, P.C. 111 W Jackson Blvd. Suite 1700 Chicago, IL 60604

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602