

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kyle Condon
DOCKET NO.: 20-32076.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-05-312-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kyle Condon, the appellant, by Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,785 **IMPR.:** \$69,730 **TOTAL:** \$74,515

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction containing 2,881 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 25 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, 2½ bathrooms, and a detached two-car garage. The property has a 6,600 square foot site located in Western Springs, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,520 to 3,670 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 22 to 38 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and  $2\frac{1}{2}$  or  $4\frac{1}{2}$  bathrooms. The appellant did not disclose whether the

comparables have garages, however, copies of photographs of the comparables submitted by the appellant depict the comparables as having an attached or detached garage. The comparables have the same classification code or neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$52,366 to \$72,893 or from \$19.36 to \$21.02 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$58,542.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$74,515. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$69,730 or \$24.20 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of masonry, stucco, or frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,214 to 3,133 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 7 to 47 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three comparables have one fireplace, each comparable has 2 or 3 full bathrooms, and three comparables have an additional ½ bathroom. Each comparable has the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$56,562 to \$79,200 or from \$25.28 to \$26.84 per square foot of living area. The board of review contends the comparables are close to the subject in age, size, and proximity with assessments per square foot the same or higher than the subject, supporting the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #3, which are most similar to the subject property in age, size and most features. These three comparables range in size from 2,666 to 3,133 square feet of living area and in age from 19 to 38 years old. Each property has one less fireplace than the subject indicating that upward adjustments to the comparables would be appropriate to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These three properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,039 to \$79,200 or from \$19.36 to \$25.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$69,730 or \$24.20 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record demonstrating the subject is not being inequitably assessed. Less weight is given the remaining comparables due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or age. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
a R	Solot Steffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	ELCATION

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 18, 2024
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** 

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Kyle Condon, by attorney: Amy C. Floyd Attorney at Law 57 E. Delaware #3101 Chicago, IL 60611

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602