

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mary McTigue
DOCKET NO.:	20-31311.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	18-09-309-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mary McTigue, the appellant, by attorney George J. Relias, of Relias Law Group, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,585
IMPR.:	\$30,304
TOTAL:	\$35,889

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,512 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 65 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,571 square foot site and is located in La Grange, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within one mile of the subject, one of which is in the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of 1-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,415 to 1,471 square feet of living area. The homes are 44 to 73 years old. Three dwellings have central

air conditioning, two comparables each have a fireplace, three comparables each have a basement, and each comparable has either a 1-car or 2-car. The parcels range in size from 6,700 to 30,372 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August 2018 to June 2020 for prices ranging from \$270,000 to \$292,486 or from \$187.50 to \$197.95 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$29,249, for an estimated market value of \$292,490 or \$193.45 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,889. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$358,890 or \$237.36 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 10% level of assessment for Cook County based on the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,096 to 1,790 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 64 to 73 years old. Each dwelling has a basement and either a 1-car, 1.5-car, or 2-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables have either one or two fireplaces. The parcels contain either 6,150 or 7,500 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2019 to October 2020 for prices ranging from \$405,000 to \$520,000 or from \$268.03 to \$379.43 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 III. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 through #4, which differ from the subject in foundation and/or site size, or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2020 assessment date at issue. The Board also gives reduced weight to the board of review comparables #2 through #4, which differ from the subject in dwelling size and/or design.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1 and #5 along with board of review comparable sale #1, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, site size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$270,000 to \$427,500 or from \$187.50 to \$268.03 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$358,890 or \$237.36 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the

range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Mary McTigue, by attorney: George J. Relias Relias Law Group, Ltd. 141 W Jackson Blvd Suite 2730 Chicago, IL 60604

#### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602