

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Letoya Barbee
DOCKET NO.: 20-31009.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-32-309-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Letoya Barbee, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,617 **IMPR.:** \$25,454 **TOTAL:** \$31,071

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 2,685 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 16 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, $2\frac{1}{2}$ bathrooms, and an attached $2\frac{1}{2}$ -car garage. The property has a 9,769 square foot site located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvements as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,403 to 2,984 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 28 to 39 years old. Each home has a full or partial basement with one having

finished area, one fireplace, 1½ to 2½ bathrooms, and a 2-car or a 2½-car attached garage. Four comparables have central air conditioning. These properties have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$11,423 to \$14,260 or from \$4.60 to \$5.25 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$13,263.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$31,071. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25,454 or \$9.48 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,176 to 2,870 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 16 to 30 years old. The board of review described the subject and comparables #1 through #3 as being in average condition while comparable #4 is in deluxe condition. Each comparable has an unfinished full or partial basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and 2½ bathrooms. These properties also have a 2-car, 2.5-car, or a 3-car garage. The comparables have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. Comparables #1 and #2 are located along the same street as the subject property. The improvement assessments range from \$24,306 to \$31,390 or from \$9.72 to \$11.69 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to differences from the subject dwelling in age as the homes are from 13 to 24 years older than the subject dwelling. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #3 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size as this property is approximately 19% smaller than the subject home. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparable #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in age and condition. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are most similar to the subject in location, size and age. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$31,390 and \$29,088 or \$11.69 and \$10.14 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25,454 or \$9.48 per square foot of living area falls below that established by the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Sobot Steffor
Member	Member
	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 16, 2024	
	Middle 14	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Letoya Barbee, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602