

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rima Muthana
DOCKET NO.: 20-30137.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-17-205-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rima Muthana, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,040 **IMPR.:** \$27,140 **TOTAL:** \$32,180

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,872 square feet of gross building area. The structure is approximately 45 years old. Features include a full unfinished basement, two fireplaces and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,300 square foot site and is located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information purportedly on four equity comparables located in the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject along with an additional spreadsheet analysis and property characteristics sheets for the comparables. However, comparables #1 and #3 are the same property presented twice. The comparables

consist of class 2-11 two-story masonry buildings that are either 42 or 43 years old. The buildings range in size from 3,602 to 4,414 square feet of gross building area. One comparable has a basement and the remaining comparables have concrete slab foundations. The duplicate comparable has central air conditioning. Comparables #2 and #4 have four-car and two-car garages, respectively. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,630 to \$31,869 or from \$7.22 to \$8.76 per square foot of gross building area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$22,983 or \$8.00 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,180. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,140 or \$9.45 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and the same block as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-11 two-story buildings of masonry exterior construction which are either 41 or 43 years old. The buildings range in size from 2,862 to 2,942 square feet of gross building area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement. Comparable #4 has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,539 to \$30,680 or from \$9.90 to \$10.60 per square foot of gross building area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables, as one of appellant's comparables was a duplicate, to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables which are each substantially larger in dwelling size such that, based on the principle of the economies of scale, would be expected to have a lower per-square-foot assessment.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which present varying degrees of similarity to the subject in most respects. Appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 necessitate upward adjustments to make them each more equivalent to the subject property for its two-car garage feature. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,539 to \$30,680 or from \$9.90 to \$10.60 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27,140

or \$9.45 per square foot of gross building area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences in age, size and/or garage amenity, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 2 | 1. Fer |
|-------------|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| C. R. | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| | Swah Bolder |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | July 16, 2024 |
|-------|---------------|
| | 1111216 |
| | Man O |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rima Muthana, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602