



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Lyons
DOCKET NO.: 20-27745.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-06-108-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Lyons, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,250
IMPR.: \$57,875
TOTAL: \$66,125

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,370 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an approximately 7,500 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,600 to 8,250 square feet of land area that are improved with class 2-78 dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,600 to 3,025 square

feet of living area, land included. The homes range in age from 16 to 31 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The properties sold from March to July 2018 for prices ranging from \$660,000 to \$800,000 or from \$239.91 to \$265.38 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$60,081 which reflects a market value of \$600,810 or \$253.51 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.00%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$66,125 which reflects a market value of \$661,250 or \$279.01 per square foot of living area, land included. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code and on the same block or within ¼ of a mile from the subject property. Board of review comparable #4 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,732 to 7,560 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,576 to 2,643 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 12 to 19 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. Three homes each have either one or two fireplaces. The comparables sold from December 2017 to September 2020 for prices ranging from \$690,000 to \$910,000 or from \$265.38 to \$353.26 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to each of the appellant's comparables and board of review comparables #3 and #4, including the common property, which sold in either 2017 or 2018, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2020 assessment date than other comparables in the record. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #2 which sold proximate to the lien date at issue and are similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These two best comparables sold in May 2019 and September 2020 for prices of \$770,000 and \$865,000 or for \$291.34 and \$330.91 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$661,250 or \$279.01 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the two best comparable sales in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Lyons, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
40 Skokie Blvd
Suite 150
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602