

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jeffery Plude
DOCKET NO.: 20-27044.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-34-106-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeffery Plude, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,816 **IMPR.:** \$21,214 **TOTAL:** \$27,030

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,582 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 28 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,079 square foot site located in Tinley Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. As part of the evidence, the appellant submitted with the residential appeal petition, the Cook County Board of Review final decision, a supplemental analysis, and computer printouts of the property details for the subject property and each comparable.

In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and within 50 feet and the same block or 2.2 miles away from the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,413 to 2,755 square feet of living area. The appellant reported that two comparables each have a pool. The comparables range in age from 28 to 33 years old and have partial or full unfinished basements. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,743 to \$22,486 or from \$7.64 to \$8.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$19,726 or \$7.64 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,030. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,214 or \$8.22 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are also located in the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04 one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,189 to 2,755 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 19 to 33 years old and have partial basements, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace, and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,978 to \$24,496 or from \$8.74 to \$9.19 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its more distant location of 2.2 miles away from the subject property. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparables #2 and #4 due to differences in dwelling size or age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables. These comparables are located on the same block as the subject and are also similar to the subject in exterior construction, age, dwelling size, foundation, and some features. These four comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,915 to \$24,496 or from \$7.64 to \$9.19 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21,214 or \$8.22 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to

the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence in this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 19, 2022
	111.1016
	Mand
	Clade of the Decrease Toron Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeffery Plude 17834 GREENWOOD DRIVE TINLEY PARK, IL 60487

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602