

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Morris Fiddler
DOCKET NO.: 20-25243.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-08-321-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Morris Fiddler, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr., of Walsh Law, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,170 **IMPR.:** \$47,692 **TOTAL:** \$55,862

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 2,826 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 112 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2.5-car garage. The property has an 8,600 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 dwellings of stucco, masonry, or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,809 to 3,072 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 102

to 117 years old. Three comparables each have an unfinished basement and one comparable is reported to lack a basement foundation. Two comparables each have central air conditioning, two comparables each have either one or two fireplaces, and three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,690 to \$50,464 or from \$11.30 to \$16.43 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$55,862. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$47,692 or \$16.88 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 dwellings of stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,576 to 3,078 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 102 to 132 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and one fireplace. Three comparables each have central air conditioning and three comparables each have either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,747 to \$57,462 or from \$18.67 to \$19.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 and board of review comparables #1, #3, and #4 which are overall more similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and most features. These five comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,427 to \$54,164 or from \$15.82 to \$19.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$47,692 or \$16.88 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the overall most similar in this record. The board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier with an improvement assessment that is considerably lower than the other comparables in this record. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #2 which lack either a basement foundation or garage amenity, respectively, both of which are features of the subject. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 20, 2024
M:11216
Clade of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Morris Fiddler, by attorney: Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. Walsh Law, LLC 111 West Washington Street Suite 1150 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602