

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mohammad Hussain DOCKET NO.: 20-23197.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 01-02-206-028-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mohammad Hussain, the appellant(s), by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,950 **IMPR.:** \$48,283 **TOTAL:** \$52,233

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 59-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,827 square feet of living area. The property has a 7,524 square foot site located in Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of its inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties. They were each improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of either masonry or frame and masonry construction. The improvements ranged in living area from 1,200 to 1,450 square feet and in improvement assessment from \$14.14 to \$15.42 per square foot of living area. In its grid analysis, the board of review indicates the living area square footage of the subject property is 942 and submitted photos of the subject property. The appellant also included a copy of the

board of review's written decision reflecting a total assessment for the subject property of \$52,233. Based on its evidence submitted, the appellant requests the total assessment of the subject property be reduced to \$18,005.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$52,233. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$48,283, or \$26.43 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparables. They were each improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of either frame or frame and masonry construction. They ranged in size from 1,006 to 3,494 square feet of living area and in assessment from \$14.09 to \$60.16 per square foot of living area. In addition, two of the board of review's comparables sold in either 2017 or 2019 for prices ranging from \$179.59 to \$219.82 per square foot of living area, including land. Furthermore, the board of review submitted photos of the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

As a preliminary matter, the board of review and the appellant provide different living area square footage for the subject property. The Board examined photos of the subject property submitted by both parties and determined the subject property is a two-story residence as indicated by the board of review as opposed to a one-story residence alleged by the appellant. Based on the evidence submitted, the Board finds the subject property contains more living area square footage than what purported by the appellant.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the *appellant's comparables #1*, #2, #3, and #4 and the board of review's comaprable #4. These comparables were most similar to the subject property in living area square footage. They had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.14 to \$46.09 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$26.43 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairma	n
C R	
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swan Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compa	

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date:

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

June 18, 2024

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mohammad Hussain, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602