

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	David Smid
DOCKET NO .:	20-22173.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-31-327-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Smid, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$4,789
IMPR.:	\$13,382
TOTAL:	\$18,171

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,056 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 79 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 2-car garage. The property has a 4,455 square foot site located in Berwyn, Berwyn Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Three comparables are also located within the same block and the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-03 or multi-level class 2-34 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,484 to 1,543 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 46 to 95 years old and have partial or full basements,

two of which have finished area. One comparable has central air conditioning, and two comparables have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12,559 to \$15,176 or from \$8.38 to \$9.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$10,351 or \$9.80 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$18,171. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$13,382 or \$12.67 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code and the same block as the subject. Three comparables are also located in the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-03 one-story or multi-level¹ dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,124 to 1,178 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 62 to 70 years old, and have full basements, one of which has finished area. One comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,028 to \$15,686 or from \$13.32 to \$13.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparables for the Board's consideration in which six comparables submitted by the parties are located within the same block and the same street as the subject property. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their dissimilar class 2-34 split-level design, significantly larger dwelling size, finished basement, and/or lack of a garage when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparable #4 due to its finished basement when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1 through #3. These comparables are located on the same block and street as the subject and are similar to the subject in dwelling size, and foundation. However, these comparables require downward adjustments for differences from the subject property due the newer ages of comparables #1 and #2 and the central air conditioning feature and larger garage size of comparable #3 to make them more equivalent to the subject. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,028 to \$15,296 or from \$13.36 to \$13.61 per square

¹ The photographic evidence depicts the board of review comparable #4 as a multi-level dwelling.

foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$13,382 or \$12.67 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record which is logical considering the subject's older age and smaller dwelling size to these comparables. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex</u> <u>Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence in this record.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 21, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Smid 3828 Home Ave Berwyn, IL 60402

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602