



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Khalida Baste  
DOCKET NO.: 20-20442.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 23-01-415-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Khalida Baste, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,752  
**IMPR.:** \$31,000  
**TOTAL:** \$35,752

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,240 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 29 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 7,920 square foot site and is located in Bridgeview, Palos Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 homes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,520 to 2,822 square feet of living area. The dwellings range

in age from 28 to 35 years old. Four homes each have a basement, one of which has finished area. Each home has central air conditioning and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Three homes each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$33,556 to \$38,936 or from \$12.61 to \$14.26 per square foot of living area.

The appellant submitted a copy of the board of review's final decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$40,995. The appellant disclosed in the appeal petition that the subject has an improvement assessment of \$36,243 or \$16.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$29,657.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" for a parcel which is not the subject property. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in Oak Park. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-06 dwellings of stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,745 to 3,052 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 102 to 112 years old. Three homes have a basement, one of which has finished area, and one home has a concrete slab foundation. Each home has one or two fireplaces and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. Three homes have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,116 to \$65,374 or from \$21.21 to \$21.90 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables due to significant differences from the subject in location and age. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #5, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and some features, although one home lacks a basement that is a feature of the subject, suggesting an upward adjustment to this comparable would be needed to make it more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables have improvement assessments of \$33,556 and \$33,905 or \$13.24 and \$13.45 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$36,243 or \$16.18 per square foot of living area falls above the best two comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Khalida Baste, by attorney:  
Noah J. Schmidt  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602