

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: HomeSaleNow, LLC DOCKET NO.: 20-20419.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-07-306-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are HomeSaleNow, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,931 **IMPR.:** \$50,884 **TOTAL:** \$58,815

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 5,250 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 41 years old. Features of the building include a concrete slab foundation. The property has a 7,050 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant, through counsel, marked contention of law and lack of assessment equity concerning the improvement as the bases of the appeal. However, the counsel's brief is the same as the inequity argument, uniformity of assessment.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on two grid

analyses for five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. For clarity in the record, the single comparable on the second grid was renumbered #5. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,835 to 5,280 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 96 to 137 years old. Each comparable has a basement, one of which is finished with an apartment. Two comparables each have one or two fireplaces. Three comparables each have a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Three comparables each have an attic with either living area or an apartment. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,047 to \$50,016 or from \$4.60 to \$9.47 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$47,722 or \$9.09 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$58,815. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$50,884 or \$9.69 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,880 to 5,778 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 104 to 117 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement. One comparable has central air conditioning and two fireplaces. Two comparables each have a 3-car or a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$49,117 to \$61,572 or of either \$10.06 or \$10.66 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration with varying degrees similarity to the subject. Nevertheless, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2 and #3 which are most similar to the subject in location, design, and dwelling size. Each comparable is a significantly older building than the subject with a basement foundation, a feature the subject lacks. One comparable also has central air conditioning, 2 fireplaces, and a 3-car garage, all features the subject lacks. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$49,117 and \$54,123 or \$10.06 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$50,884 or \$9.69 per square foot of building area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record on an overall basis but falls

below on a per square foot basis. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #1 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size and/or design. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
a R	Sobot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Boldey
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> June 18, 2024 Date:

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

HomeSaleNow, LLC, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602