

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ruth Walther
DOCKET NO.: 20-20344.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-18-412-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ruth Walther, the appellant, by Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,000 **IMPR.:** \$27,597 **TOTAL:** \$32,597

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,295 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 103 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, one bathroom, and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,762 square foot site located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four class 2-03 equity comparables of masonry, stucco, or frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,483 to 1,639 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 102 to 105 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with one having finished area and two comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have from 1 to 3 full bathrooms and comparable #3 has an

additional ½ bathroom. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The appellant's submission included copies of photographs of the subject property and the comparables. Their improvement assessments range from \$24,083 to \$27,829 or from \$16.24 to \$17.18 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,652.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,597. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,597 or \$21.31 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with one-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,121 to 1,257 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 95 to 102 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with one having finished area, and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one full bathroom and comparable #2 has an additional ½ bathroom. The comparables have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. The board of review submission included copies of photographs of the subject property and the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$24,785 to \$28,947 or from \$22.11 to \$23.03 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables as these homes are more similar to the subject dwelling in size and exterior construction than are the comparables provided by the appellant. Additionally, each comparable has a 1.5-car or a 2-car, like the subject property, whereas the appellant's comparables were not described as having garages. Finally, the photographs of the board of review comparables depict homes more similar to the subject dwelling than are the appellant's comparables depicted in their photographs. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$24,785 to \$28,947 or from \$22.11 to \$23.03 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27,597 or \$21.31 per square foot of living area falls within the overall range but below the range on a square foot of living area basis established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 21, 2024
-	141:10)16
	Mana
-	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ruth Walther, by attorney: Amy C. Floyd Attorney at Law 57 E. Delaware #3101 Chicago, IL 60611

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602