



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Shang Tee
DOCKET NO.: 20-08475.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-20-402-035

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shang Tee, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$65,260
IMPR.: \$76,490
TOTAL: \$141,750

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,110 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1976. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a 240 square foot enclosed porch and a 484 square foot garage. The property has a 10,097 square foot site and is located in Naperville, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .17 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings ranging in size from 2,136 to 2,364 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1976 or 1977. The comparables each have a

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property is found in the subject's property record card provided by the board of review.

basement and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 520 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from October 2019 to December 2020 for prices ranging from \$330,000 to \$410,000 or from \$154.49 to \$183.94 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$119,570, which would reflect a market value of \$358,746 or \$170.02 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$141,750. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$424,401 or \$201.14 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three-year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.40% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The board of review submitted an assessment data sheet which lists the appellant's comparables and a data sheet which lists the township assessor's comparables. The appellant's comparables were described as having sites that range in size from 8,677 to 12,825 square feet of land area that are improved with two-story or part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction. Three of the appellant's comparables were reported to each have central air conditioning and one fireplace.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review, through the township assessor submitted information on three comparable sales with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .15 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 9,000 to 10,686 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story or part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,099 to 2,418 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1977 or 1978. The comparables each have a basement, two of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 494 square feet of building area. The board of review's comparable #1 has a 221 square foot enclosed porch. The comparables sold from May 2019 to January 2020 for prices ranging from \$420,010 to \$500,000 or from \$196.44 to \$216.64 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also submitted a location map depicting the locations of all the comparables presented by the parties in relation to the subject property. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant agreed that board of review comparable #1 is an acceptable comparable sale. Counsel contended that board of review comparables #2 and #3 are not comparable due to their finished basements. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel suggested that the appellant's comparables, along with board of review comparable #1 are the best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or

construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its lack of central air conditioning, a feature of the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 as each dwelling has a finished basement, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4, along with board of review comparable #1, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. However, the Board finds none of the appellant's comparables have an enclosed porch like the subject, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables sold from January to December 2020 for prices ranging from \$385,000 to \$420,010 or from \$162.86 to \$200.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$424,401 or \$201.14 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls somewhat above the range established by the best comparables sales in the record in terms of overall market value but within the range on a price per square foot basis. The subject's higher overall market value appears to be justified given its enclosed porch feature. Therefore, based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 18, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Shang Tee, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187