



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Angulo
DOCKET NO.: 20-08152.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-14-112-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Angulo, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$27,330
IMPR.: \$78,810
TOTAL: \$106,140

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a ranch-style dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,482 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1957. Features of the home include a finished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 10,771 square foot site and is located in Bloomingdale, Bloomingdale Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood and within 0.22 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with ranch-style homes of masonry or mixed exterior construction ranging in size from 1,471 to 1,623 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1958 to 1961. Each home has a finished basement and central air conditioning. One

comparable has one fireplace. Four comparables each have a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$36,000 to \$73,030 or from \$23.12 to \$46.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$66,143 or \$44.63 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$106,140. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$78,810 or \$53.18 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables, together with a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables, property record cards for the appellant's comparables, and a map depicting the locations of the parties' comparables in relation to the subject. The board of review's comparables are located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables are improved with ranch-style homes of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,260 to 1,590 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1958 to 1961. Each home is reported to have a finished basement, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. Two comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$69,100 to \$86,160 or from \$53.26 to \$55.34 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of ten equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier with a considerably lower improvement assessment than the other comparables in the record. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 which lacks a garage, a feature of the subject, and board of review comparable #1 which differs from the subject in dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$62,670 to \$86,160 or from \$42.43 to \$55.34 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$78,810 or \$53.18 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 17, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Angulo, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187