

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Terry Thies

DOCKET NO.: 20-07151.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-33.0-407-032

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Terry Thies, the appellant; and the St. Clair County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **St. Clair** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$14,141 **IMPR.:** \$73,982 **TOTAL:** \$88,123

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the St. Clair County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.<sup>1</sup>

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,034 square feet of living area.<sup>2</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2007 and is approximately 13 years old. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 528 square foot garage. The property has an 11,644 square foot site and is located in Fairview Heights, Caseyville Township, St. Clair County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board notes that the appellant received a favorable administrative decision for the 2019 tax year from the Board during the pendency of this appeal and submitted a request for a direct appeal to the Board within this appeal, together with an amended assessment request corresponding to the 2019 tax year decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appellant's grid analysis describes a different dwelling size than is shown in the subject's property record card presented by the appellant. The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's dwelling size is found in its property record card which contains a sketch and measurements.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. As part of the appeal petition, the appellant indicated that the subject property is not owner-occupied.

In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of frame and masonry exterior construction<sup>3</sup> ranging in size from 1,880 to 2,099 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 13 or 15 years old. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 987 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,999 to \$58,235 or from \$23.94 to \$28.60 per square foot of living area.

As part of the appeal, the appellant also disclosed that the subject property was the subject matter of an appeal before the Board for the prior year under Docket Number 19-02008.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Board takes judicial notice that the Board issued a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$64,756 based on the record presented in that appeal.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$51,106 or \$25.13 per square foot of living area, based upon the Board's 2019 tax year decision for the subject property.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$88,123. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,982 or \$36.37 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located on the same street as the subject and within the same subdivision as the subject.<sup>4</sup> The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,751 to 2,225 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2008 to 2019. Each home has a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 796 to 1,078 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$73,616 to \$108,246 or from \$39.70 to \$48.65 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted a brief contending that the appellant did not provide correct dwelling sizes and per square foot improvement assessments for the appellant's comparables #1 and #2. The board of review presented a corrected grid analysis of the appellant's comparables, together with property record cards for these comparables.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Additional details for these comparables are found in their property record cards presented by the board of review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The board of review also presented sales data for these comparables; however, this information is not responsive to the appellant's assessment inequity argument and shall not be further considered herein.

In written rebuttal, the appellant re-submitted information regarding the 2019 tax year appeal and a request for a direct appeal to the Board.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As an initial matter, the Board notes that one of the key elements for a "rollover" of the prior tax year's decision of the Board is that the subject property must be owner-occupied. Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) states in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The appellant indicated in the appeal petition that the subject property is not owner-occupied. Thus, the Board finds that the 2019 tax year decision reducing the subject's assessment does not remain in effect for the 2020 tax year pursuant to Section 16-185.<sup>5</sup>

For the 2020 appeal, the record contains a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #4, and the board of review's comparables #1 and #4, which each have finished basement area unlike the subject. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #2, which is an approximately 14% smaller home than the subject dwelling and is less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #3, which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and features, although these comparables are smaller homes than the subject dwelling, suggesting that adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two most similar comparables have improvement assessments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The 2019 tax year favorable decision provides an opportunity to file a new appeal with evidence directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board. However, for this property, the appellant had already filed this appeal on April 7, 2021 whereas the 2019 decision was issued later on October 19, 2021.

of \$44,999 and \$74,911 or \$23.94 and \$40.65 per square foot of living area. The subject's equalized improvement assessment of \$73,982 or \$36.37 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as dwelling size, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

22	1. her
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 17, 2023
	Midd 215
<del></del>	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Terry Thies 238 Arbor Meadows Court Fairview Heights, IL 62208

# **COUNTY**

St. Clair County Board of Review St. Clair County Building 10 Public Square Belleville, IL 62220