



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Hans & Rita Frei
DOCKET NO.: 20-07105.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 19-07-407-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hans & Rita Frei, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,340
IMPR.: \$60,073
TOTAL: \$79,413

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction¹ with 1,918 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1978. Features of the home include basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an 812 square foot garage. The property has a 9,000 square foot site and is located in Crystal Lake, Algonquin Township, McHenry County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on five comparable sales in the same neighborhood and located within 0.52 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are reported to be improved with 2-story dwellings with either 1,942 or 1,944 square feet of living area that were built from 1974 to 1978. Each comparable is reported to have a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the property record card provided by the board of review.

garage containing 441 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2019 to July 2020 for prices ranging from \$135,050 to \$211,000 or from \$69.54 to \$108.54 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$79,413. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$238,049 or \$124.11 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three-year average median level of assessment for McHenry County of 33.36% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The board of review, through the township assessor, asserted that the appellants' comparables are townhomes and are not comparable to the subject that is a single-family home.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review, through the township assessor, submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same neighborhood as the subject and within 0.71 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 9,100 to 14,242 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story single-family dwellings ranging in size from 1,762 to 2,096 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1981 to 1993. Each comparable has a basement, three with finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 440 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables sold from March 2019 to April 2020 for prices ranging from \$246,500 to \$258,900 or from \$121.90 to \$146.94 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellants argued that the board of review comparables should be given less weight due to the dwellings either being larger in dwelling size and/or newer dwelling age when compared to the subject.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 due to their newer ages when compared to the subject. The Board has given less weight to the appellants' comparable #1 which appears to be an outlier when compared to the remaining comparables.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties remaining comparables. The Board finds these comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and some features. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$169,900 to

\$255,500 or from \$87.49 to \$135.66 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$238,049 or \$124.11 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, based on this record the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hans & Rita Frei, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

McHenry County Board of Review
McHenry County Government Center
2200 N. Seminary Ave.
Woodstock, IL 60098