

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Dariusz & Agnieszka Motyka
DOCKET NO.:	20-05633.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	08-24-202-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dariusz & Agnieszka Motyka, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$33,256
IMPR.:	\$248,221
TOTAL:	\$281,477

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick, frame, and stone exterior construction with 4,735 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement with finished area,<sup>1</sup> central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 1,093 square foot garage. The property has a 22,557 square foot site and is located in St. Charles, Campton Township, Kane County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.30 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 20,697 to 26,207 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick, brick and frame, or brick, frame, and stone exterior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellants are found in the subject's property record card presented by the board of review.

construction. The homes range in size from 4,278 to 5,322 square feet of living area and were built in 2006 or 2011. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area,<sup>2</sup> central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 708 to 1,170 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from November 2018 to June 2019 for prices ranging from \$653,833 to \$765,000 or from \$143.74 to \$156.71 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$281,477. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$844,769 or \$178.41 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales, together with a grid analysis of the appellants' comparables, and maps depicting the locations of both parties' comparables in relation to the subject. The board of review's comparables are located within 0.37 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 20,368 to 28,302 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of frame, brick, and stone exterior construction ranging in size from 3,848 to 5,021 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2006 to 2016. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 752 to 1,188 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from January 2017 to April 2020 for prices ranging from \$800,000 to \$927,500 or from \$184.59 to \$207.90 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

In written rebuttal the appellants argued the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #3, were older sales and/or smaller homes than the subject.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparable #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #2, which sold less proximate in time to the assessment date than other comparables in this record.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Additional details regarding the comparables not reported by the appellants are found in the grid analysis of these properties submitted by the board of review.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellants' comparables #1, #2, and #3 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #4, which are relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and features, although four of these comparable lack finished basement area that is a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$732,000 to \$805,000 or from \$143.74 to \$190.34 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$844,769 or \$178.41 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in terms of total market value and within the range on a price per square foot basis, and appears to be supported after considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, such as finished basement area. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

#### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 18, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### APPELLANT

Dariusz & Agnieszka Motyka, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

# COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134