



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Rutul & Prachi Thakkar
DOCKET NO.: 20-05622.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-13-427-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rutul & Prachi Thakkar, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,262
IMPR.: \$120,844
TOTAL: \$141,106

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 3,469 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2011. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing 612 square feet of building area. The property has a .33-acre site and is located in Elgin, Plato Township, Kane County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within .2 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings ranging in size from 2,953 to 4,159 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2007 to 2020. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, an unfinished basement, and a garage ranging in size from 483 to 713 square

¹ Details not reported by the appellant were drawn from the property record card submitted by the board of review.

feet of building area. Four comparables each have one or two fireplaces. The parcels range in size from .21 acres to .38 acres of land area. The comparables sold from April 2019 to March 2020 for prices ranging from \$314,990 to \$420,000 or from \$94.97 to \$119.35 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$123,331, for an estimated market value of \$370,030 or \$106.67 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$141,106. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$423,487 or \$122.08 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on seven comparable sales. Comparables #1 through #5 are the same properties as appellants' comparables, described above. The remaining comparables consist of two-story dwellings containing 3,032 and 3,927 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2008 and 2015. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, an unfinished basement, and a garage containing either 660 or 691 square feet of building area. Comparable #6 has an inground swimming pool. The parcels contain either .24 acres or .41 acres of land area. The comparables sold in May and June 2017 for prices ranging of \$457,000 and \$528,000 or for \$134.45 and \$150.73 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also submitted a memorandum arguing that the parties' comparable #1 should not be considered because its sale date falls outside of the sales ratio study and that the parties' comparable #4 is of inferior quality construction. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellants argued that board of review comparables #6 and #7 were not comparable due to their remote sale dates.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board, with five comparables being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparable #5/board of review comparable #5 due to its larger dwelling in relation to the subject. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #6 and #7 due to their remote sale dates for valuation as of January 1, 2020.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' comparables sales #1 through #4, which are similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, location, and features. These

most similar comparables sold from April 2019 to March 2020 for prices ranging from \$314,990 to \$420,000 or from \$98.04 to \$119.35 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$423,487 or \$122.08 per square foot of living area, including land, which is slightly above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record, but appears justified given the subject's newer age and/or superior quality in relation to the best comparables. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 18, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rutul & Prachi Thakkar, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review
Kane County Government Center
719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl.
Geneva, IL 60134