

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Wesley Gulik
DOCKET NO.: 20-04544.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-21-418-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Wesley Gulik, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$29,903 **IMPR.:** \$122,924 **TOTAL:** \$152,827

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,492 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1993. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 462 square foot garage. The property has a 15,430 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within .31 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 9,930 to 10,740 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,052 to 2788 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1993. Four comparables have basements and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Each comparable also has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging ranging in

size from 420 to 500 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from January 2019 to February 2020 for prices ranging from \$350,000 to \$436,500 or from \$141.68 to \$170.57 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$152,827. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$459,078 or \$184.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within .26 of a mile from the subject. These properties have sites ranging in size from 9,940 to 12,640 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,250 to 2,683 square feet of living area and were built in 1991. The comparables have basements, one of which is a walkout. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage with either 400 or 420 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2019 to June 2020 for prices ranging from \$460,000 to \$499,000 or from \$175.18 to \$204.44 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains ten comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #4 and #5 due to differences in foundation type or dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age, and features. These comparables sold from March 2019 to June 2020 for prices ranging from \$418,000 to \$499,000 or from \$156.56 to \$204.44 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$459,078 or \$184.22 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	Solort Steffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 21, 2023
	14:1016
	Mallon

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Wesley Gulik, by attorney: Eric Feldman Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. 123 W. Madison St. Suite 1704 Chicago, Il 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085