

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Jason Caplan
DOCKET NO.:	20-03809.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-32-312-052

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jason Caplan, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$58,036
IMPR.:	\$146,702
TOTAL:	\$204,738

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,451 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1976. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an inground swimming pool, and a garage containing 539 square feet of building area. The property has a 10,800 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within .45 of a mile of the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,700 to 3,562 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1969 to 1975 with comparable #3 having an effective age of 1982. Each dwelling has central air conditioning,

a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 484 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have a basement with one having finished area. Comparable #2 has an inground swimming pool. Three of the parcels contain either 10,800 or 10,960 square feet of land area, with no parcel size data provided for comparables #2 and #5. The comparables sold from March 2019 to June 2020 for prices ranging from \$337,000 to \$580,000 or from \$124.81 to \$184.03 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$174,806.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$204,738. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$615,014 or \$178.21 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 threeyear average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .48 of a mile of the subject and within in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,880 to 3,960 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1972 to 1975. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage containing either 440 or 600 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have a basement with one having finished area. Three of the parcels range in size from 10,620 to 10,920 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2019 to August 2020 for prices ranging from \$575,500 to \$715,000 or from \$173.48 to \$210.07 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board finds that neither party submitted comparables that were particularly similar to the subject due to differences from the subject in dwelling size, finished basement area, inground swimming pool amenity, and/or foundation type. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #2 and #5, as well as board of review comparable #1, due to the lack of parcel size data that would allow the Board to determine the degree of similarity to the subject. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 due to its dissimilar concrete slab foundation when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #1, #3, and #4 along with board of review comparable sales #2 and #4 which are similar to the subject in

age, dwelling size, and most features, noting that four of the comparables lack finished basement area and all lack inground swimming pools suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$485,000 to \$687,000 or from \$162.83 to \$210.07 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$615,014 or \$178.21 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 21, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Jason Caplan, by attorney: Eric Feldman Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. 123 W. Madison St. Suite 1704 Chicago, Il 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085