

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Andrich
DOCKET NO.: 20-03274.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-27-404-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Andrich, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$39,691 **IMPR.:** \$128,758 **TOTAL:** \$168,449

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,110 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1961. Features of the home include a walkout basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces, and a 745 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 42,750 square foot site and is located in Kildeer, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within 0.24 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,670 to 3,226 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1955 to 1969 with comparable #1 having an effective age of 1964. Two homes each have a basement and two homes each have a

concrete slab foundation. Each home has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 572 to 864 square feet of building area. Comparables #2 and #4 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparable shave improvement assessments ranging from \$75,947 to \$106,932 or from \$24.96 to \$34.72 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$168,449. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$128,758 or \$41.40 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables where comparables #1 and #3 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, respectively. Comparable #2 is located 0.13 of a mile from the subject and is improved with a 1-story home of wood siding and brick exterior construction with 2,892 square feet of living area. The home was built in 1995, has an effective age of 1963, and features a walkout basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an attached 648 square foot garage, a detached 960 square foot garage, and an inground swimming pool. This comparable has an improvement assessment of \$124,574 or \$43.08 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of five equity comparables, with two common comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2, the appellant's comparable #4/board of review's comparable #3, and the board of review's comparable #2, which each have an inground swimming pool that is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of improvement assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and the appellant's comparable #3/board of review's comparable #1, which are relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and some features, although one of these comparables is a smaller home than the subject and one of these comparables lacks a basement that is a feature of the subject, suggesting upward adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments of \$80,284 and \$106,932 or of \$30.07 and \$34.72 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$128,758 or \$41.40 per square foot of living area falls above the best comparables in this record, but appears to be justified after

considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 16, 2023
	111-10-16
	Man O
	C11

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Andrich, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Law P.C. 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085