

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Melissa O'Malley-Wilson

DOCKET NO.: 20-02957.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-26-111-072

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Melissa O'Malley-Wilson, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,923 **IMPR.:** \$69,030 **TOTAL:** \$77,953

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of vinyl siding exterior construction with 1,838 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989 and is approximately 31 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,330 square foot site and is located in Grayslake, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from .07 to 1.21 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 10,018 to 12,196 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,222 to 2,391 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 32 to 55 years

old. The comparables each have a basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 348 to 598 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables sold from February 2018 to October 2019 for prices ranging from \$185,000 to \$280,000 or from \$80.72 to \$118.14 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$65,126, which would reflect a market value of \$195,398 or \$106.31 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$77,953. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$234,163 or \$127.40 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from .25 to .99 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,300 to 8,710 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,841 to 2,052 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1973 to 2007 with comparables #1 and #2 having reported effective ages of 1982 and 1989, respectively. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables sold in either March or December 2020 for prices ranging from \$237,500 to \$290,000 or from \$128.60 to \$141.33 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. Furthermore, the appellant's comparable #1 has an older dwelling with a finished basement, unlike the subject and the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 had sale dates occurring in 2018, which are less proximate in time to the assessment date at issue, and thus less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of January 1, 2020. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 due to its newer dwelling age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #2, which sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, effective age and most features. These two comparables sold in March and December 2020 for prices of \$250,000 and \$290,000 or for \$128.60 and \$141.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$234,163 or \$127.40 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the two best comparable sales in the record both in terms of overall market value and on a price per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 20, 2022
	Will 215
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Asset Decrea

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Melissa O'Malley-Wilson, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085