

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Patricia Kalal
DOCKET NO.: 20-02673.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-36-101-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Patricia Kalal, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$109,320 **IMPR.:** \$97,968 **TOTAL:** \$207,288

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding and brick exterior construction with 3,308 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1957 and is approximately 63 years old. The dwelling has a reported effective age of 1962. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 625 square foot attached garage. The property has a 103,842 square foot site and is located in Riverwoods, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from .13 to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review provided a copy of the subject's property record card indicating the subject dwelling has an effective age of 1962, which was unrefuted by the appellant.

1.45 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,639 to 3,457 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 74 years old. The appellant reported that one comparable has a basement with finished area and three comparables have either a crawl space or a concrete slab foundation. Each comparable has one or three fireplaces, and three comparables have central air conditioning and an attached or a detached garage ranging in size from 484 to 648 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$62,516 to \$83,763 or from \$21.69 to \$26.65 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$79,888.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$207,288. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$97,968 or \$29.62 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from .15 to 1.49 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding or wood siding and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,207 to 3,348 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1950 to 1958 with comparables #1, #4 and #5 having reported effective years built of 1965, 1976 and 1975, respectively. The board of review reported that two comparables have basements with one having finished area and three comparables have crawl space or concrete slab foundations. The comparables each have central air conditioning and one to three fireplaces. Four comparables have each have an attached garage ranging in size from 550 to 792 square feet of building area. One comparable has a hot tub and a green house. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$97,418 to \$111,425 or from \$30.01 to \$33.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their distant locations being more than one mile away from the subject and/or they have a dissimilar basement foundation in contrast to the subject's concrete slab foundation. The Board has also given less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 due to its considerably smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #5, which are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and year built. The Board finds board of review comparable #1 lacks a garage which is a feature of the subject and board of review comparable #5 has a hot tub and green house which are not features of the subject. Nevertheless, these two comparables have improvement assessments of \$97,418 and \$111,425 or \$30.01 and \$33.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$97,968 or \$29.62 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables on an overall basis and falls below on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 22, 2022
	Middle 1/5
	Clade of the Property Ten Append

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Patricia Kalal, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085