



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Katie Hinrics
DOCKET NO.: 20-02634.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-11-109-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Katie Hinrics, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$28,172
IMPR.: \$125,852
TOTAL: \$154,024

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 1-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,610 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was built in 1976 but has a 1982 effective age. Features of the home include 893 square feet of finished basement area, central air conditioning, 3 full bathrooms and 2 half bathrooms, two fireplaces, and an attached 1,293 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 37,090 square foot site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties that are located from .11 to .43 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are

¹ The Board finds the subject dwelling is a 1-story design and has 893 square feet of finished area based on the Property Record Card (PRC) submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,840 to 2,968 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1973 to 1990. The comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, 2.5 to 3.0 bathrooms, a fireplace and an attached garage ranging in size from 648 to 834 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$111,041 to \$127,146 or from \$37.61 to \$44.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$154,024. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$125,852 or \$48.22 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable properties that are located from .38 to .89 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,375 to 2,797 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1968 to 1983. The comparables have unfinished basements or a lower level, central air conditioning, 2.5 to 3.5 bathrooms, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 645 to 928 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$107,085 to \$140,409 or from \$45.09 to \$50.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable properties for the Board's consideration, none of which are particularly similar to the subject. The Board finds the parties' comparables are similar to the subject in location, size and some features. However, each of the parties' comparables appear to lack finished basement area, have a smaller number of bathrooms, and each has a smaller garage than the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$107,085 to \$140,409 or from \$37.61 to \$50.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$125,852 or \$48.22 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is well supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 17, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Katie Hinrics, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085