

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: James Novosel
DOCKET NO.: 20-02432.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-20-408-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Novosel, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$45,242 **IMPR.:** \$154,000 **TOTAL:** \$199,242

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,329 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached 692 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 15,290 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located from .06 to .31 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings containing from 3,468 to 3,691 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1989 to 1991. The comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached 692 or 736 square foot garage.

The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$134,087 to \$148,043 or from \$38.66 to \$42.69 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$203,961. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$158,719 or \$47.68 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that are located from .06 to .19 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings containing from 2,900 to 3,329 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1990 or 1991. The comparables have basements, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 516 to 692 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$138,271 to \$161,249 or from \$47.68 to \$48.61 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its larger dwelling size, when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5, due to their smaller dwelling size or their finished basement area, when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. The best comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$134,087 to \$154,101 or from \$38.66 to \$48.61 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$158,719 or \$47.68 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record on a total improvement assessment basis but within the range on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's total improvement assessment is slightly excessive. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 22, 2022
	111:11216
	Mydda Co
	C1- 1 f 1 D T A 1 D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Novosel, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085