

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Balkrishna Bajaj DOCKET NO.: 20-02200.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-04-209-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Balkrishna Bajaj, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$106,004 **IMPR.:** \$90,280 **TOTAL:** \$196,284

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,715 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1947 and is approximately 73 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, one fireplace, and a 384 square foot garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has an approximately 11,848 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .22 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story or 2-story

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties differ as to the subject's basement finish. The Board finds the best evidence of the subject's property description to be the property record card presented by the board of review.

dwellings of brick exterior construction that range from 1,664 to 2,454 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 78 to 95 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, one fireplace, and a detached garage that ranges from 272 to 720 square feet of building area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$71,327 to \$103,373 or from \$42.12 to \$45.60 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$75,374 or \$43.95 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$196,284. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$90,280 or \$52.64 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .27 of a mile from the subject property. Each comparable is improved with a 1.5-story or 2-story dwelling of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction that range from 1,617 to 1,840 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1922 to 1959 with comparables #1 and #2 having effective built dates of 1930 and 1942, respectively. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage that ranges from 200 to 484 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$100,274 to \$111,134 or from \$57.48 to \$65.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 as well as board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 which differ from the subject in dwelling size or age/effective age.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are relatively similar to the subject in location, design, age/effective age, dwelling size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$71,327 to \$111,134 or from \$42.86 to \$65.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$90,280 or \$52.64 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fem	
-	Chairman
a Residence of the second of t	Sovet Staffer
Member	Member
Dane De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

1111216
Man Ca

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Balkrishna Bajaj, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085