

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Wartell
DOCKET NO.: 20-02128.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-101-134

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Wartell, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$77,290 **IMPR.:** \$168,661 **TOTAL:** \$245,951

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of dryvit and brick exterior construction containing 3,657 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1997 and is approximately 23 years old. Features of the home include a full basement that has a 1,284 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an attached garage with 682 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 14,862 square feet of land area located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,422 to 4,188 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 19 to 67 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished area, central air

conditioning, one or three fireplaces and an attached or detached garage ranging in size from 440 to 720 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from approximately .07 to .78 of one mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$141,426 to \$161,461 or from \$38.55 to \$41.48 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$149,223.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$245,951. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$168,661 or \$46.12 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick, wood siding and stone, or a combination of brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,116 to 3,843 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1999 to 2004. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 828 square feet of living area. The comparables are located from .24 to .44 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$161,775 to \$234,458 or from \$44.28 to \$61.01 per square foot of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparables #1 through #4 as these comparables are most similar to the subject in size, age and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$141,426 to \$234,458 or from \$41.23 to \$61.01 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$168,661 or \$46.12 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Little weight is given appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #5 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size, age and/or basement finish. Based on this record the Board finds the assessment of the subject property as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

1111216
Man Ca

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Paul Wartell, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085