



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Cerra
DOCKET NO.: 20-02104.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-25-105-045

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Cerra, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$115,215
IMPR.: \$140,191
TOTAL: \$255,406

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,668 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1923, is approximately 97 years old, and has a reported effective age of 1944.¹ Features of the home include a full basement with 842 square feet of finished area, two full bathrooms, one half-bathroom, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 480 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 15,695 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The

¹ Additional details regarding the subject property not reported by the appellant are found in the subject's property record card presented by the board of review.

comparables are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,280 to 3,814 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 31 to 95 years old. Each home has a full basement, two of which have 300 or 1,400 square feet of finished area, two to four full bathrooms, one half-bathroom, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 480 to 690 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,700 to \$134,724 or from \$15.37 to \$35.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$255,406. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$140,191 or \$38.22 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story homes of brick, stucco, or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,428 to 3,720 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1917 to 1926 and have effective ages ranging from 1937 to 1951. Each home has a full basement with 862 to 1,377 square feet of finished area, three or four full bathrooms, central air conditioning, one or four fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 567 square feet of building area. Three homes also have one or two half-bathrooms. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$134,827 to \$148,895 or from \$39.33 to \$40.67 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4, due to significant differences from the subject in year built or basement finish. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2, which is less similar to the subject in effective age than other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age/effective age, location, and most features, although these properties have more bathrooms than the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$134,827 to \$148,895 or from \$39.33 to \$40.67 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$140,191 or \$38.22 per

square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in terms of total improvement assessment and below the range on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as bathroom count and finished basement area, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 18, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Cerra, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085