



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Robert Ruderman
DOCKET NO.: 20-02084.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-25-109-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robert Ruderman, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$162,912
IMPR.: \$113,747
TOTAL: \$276,659

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of Dryvit exterior construction with 3,218 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1926 and is approximately 94 years old. The home features a basement with 1,075 square feet of finished area,¹ central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a detached garage containing 400 square feet of building area. The property has a site measuring approximately 29,050 square feet and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as is

¹ Some descriptive information such as the finished basement area was drawn from the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review and not refuted by the appellant.

assigned to the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story and 2.5-story homes of brick, stucco, or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 2,892 to 3,585 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 81 to 99 years old. Each home features an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached or a detached garage ranging in size from 294 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$96,316 to \$119,543 or from \$28.82 to \$33.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$276,659. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$113,747 or \$35.35 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as is assigned to the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story, 2.5-story, and 3-story dwellings with brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exteriors ranging in size from 3,145 to 3,584 square feet of living area. The comparables were built from 1924 to 1930. Each comparable features a basement, four with finished area. Each comparable also features central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a detached garage ranging in size from 400 to 528 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$116,160 to \$128,556 or from \$35.87 to \$37.52 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds that the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables, along with board of review comparable #1 as each of these comparables lacks a finished basement, unlike the subject which has 1,075 square feet of finished basement area. Furthermore, board of review comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool, a feature that the subject property lacks. The Board also gave reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 based on its 3-story design, dissimilar to the subject's 2-story style home.

The Board finds the board of review comparables #2, #4, and #5 to be the best evidence of equity in assessment as these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, age, finished basement area, and most features. The best comparables in this record have improvement

assessments ranging from \$116,160 to \$128,556 or from \$35.87 to \$37.12 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$113,747 or \$35.35 per square foot of living area falls slightly below the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement is equitably assessed and, therefore, no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 22, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Robert Ruderman, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085