

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joe Bednarski DOCKET NO.: 20-02036.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-122-024

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joe Bednarski, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$53,210 **IMPR.:** \$61,000 **TOTAL:** \$114,210

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,906 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1929 and is approximately 91 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a fireplace. The property has a site measuring approximately 5,213 square feet and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story homes of wood-siding or brick exterior construction that range in size from 1,519 to 2,282 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 90 to 96 years old. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some descriptive information was drawn from the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review.

comparables are described as each having a full unfinished basement; one comparable has central air conditioning; three comparables each have a fireplace; and two comparables each have a detached garage containing 360 and 399 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$46,847 to \$66,403 or from \$29.10 to \$31.96 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$58,800 or \$30.85 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$118,583. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$65,373 or \$34.30 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings with brick, stucco, or brick and wood-siding exteriors that range in size from 1,745 to 1,872 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1924 to 1928. Each comparable features a full unfinished basement, a fireplace, and an attached or a detached garage ranging in size from 216 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$61,726 to \$68,481 or from \$35.37 to \$36.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2, along with board of review comparables due to each of these comparables having a garage which is a feature that the subject property lacks. The remaining two comparables (appellant's comparables #3 and #4) are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, and most features. However, each of these comparables has slightly larger dwelling and basement sizes relative to the subject suggesting that some downward adjustments to these comparables are appropriate in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. These two best comparables in the record have improvement assessments of \$63,934 and \$65,322 or \$31.53 and \$31.96 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$65,373 or \$34.30 per square foot of living area is higher than the best comparables in this record for differences from the subject such as their larger dwelling and basement sizes relative to the subject, the Board finds that the subject dwelling is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 20, 2022
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Joe Bednarski, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085