

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Adam Moschin
DOCKET NO.:	20-02026.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-34-414-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Adam Moschin, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*no change*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$65,499
IMPR.:	\$254,028
TOTAL:	\$319,527

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 4,243 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2007 and is approximately 13 years old. Features of the home include a full basement that is partially finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 671 square feet of building area. The property has a site with approximately 17,330 square feet of land area and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of brick construction that range in size from 4,035 to 4,844 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to 20 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning,

one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 694 to 725 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from approximately .29 to .51 of one mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$165,435 to \$284,396 or from \$41.00 to \$58.71 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$229,896 or \$54.18 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$319,527. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$254,028 or \$59.87 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables with comparable #3 being the same property as appellant's comparable #4. Board of review comparables #1 and #2 are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or stone and stucco exterior construction built in 2011 and 2004 with 4,263 and 4,855 square feet of living area, respectively. Both properties have a full basement with comparable #2 being finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and an attached garage with 831 or 918 square feet of building area. The comparables are located within .21 of a mile from the subject property. Comparables #1 and #2 have improvement assessments of \$250,720 and \$293,775 or \$58.81 and \$60.51 per square foot of living area, respectively.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains six equity comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions with one comparable being common to the parties. The comparables are similar to the subject in location, age, and style. The Board gives little weight to appellant's comparable #2 as the improvement assessment on this property is an outlier when contrasted with the remaining comparables in this record. Appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1 would require upward adjustments as each lacks finished basement area, a feature of the subject property. Appellant's comparable #4 and each of the board review comparables, which includes the common comparable, have an additional fireplace in relation to the subject property, suggesting each would require a downward adjustment to make them more equivalent to the subject property for this feature. Appellant's comparables #1 and #4 as well as board of review comparables #2 and #3, which includes the common comparable, would require upward adjustments on a per square foot of living area basis due to their larger dwelling size in relation to the subject dwelling based on economies of scale. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$230,376 to \$293,775 or from \$52.08 to \$60.51 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$254,028 or \$59.87 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on

this record, after considering the suggested adjustments to the comparables, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 20, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Adam Moschin, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085