

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Charles Dickson DOCKET NO.: 20-01946.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-27-120-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Charles Dickson, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$44,713 **IMPR.:** \$102,123 **TOTAL:** \$146,836

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a tri-level dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,606 square feet of above grade living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1953 and is approximately 67 years old. The home has an effective age of 1976. Features of the home include a lower level with 416 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 504 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 7,300 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables. The comparables are located from 0.55 to 0.67 of a mile from the subject

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Additional details regarding the subject property not reported by the appellant are found in the subject's property record card presented by the board of review.

property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with split-level or tri-level homes of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,322 to 3,070 square feet of above grade living area. The dwellings range in age from 52 to 55 years old. Three homes each have a crawl-space foundation and one home has a lower level with 740 square feet of finished area. Each home has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 260 to 506 square feet of building area. One home has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,640 to \$114,093 or from \$33.20 to \$37.16 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$93,750 or \$35.97 per square foot of above grade living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$146,836. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$102,123 or \$39.19 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables. The comparables are located from 0.10 to 0.83 of a mile from the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with tri-level homes of brick and wood siding or brick and vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,460 to 2,703 square feet of above grade living area. The dwellings were built from 1953 to 1968 and four comparables have effective ages ranging from 1974 to 1982. Each home has a lower level with 500 to 864 square feet of finished area and central air conditioning. One home has a basement, three homes each have one or two fireplaces, and four homes have a garage ranging in size from 400 to 572 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$107,332 to \$112,749 or from \$41.00 to \$43.63 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3, due to significant differences from the subject in dwelling size. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #1 due to its lack of a garage which is a feature of the subject and the board of review's comparable #5, due to its basement foundation which the subject does not feature.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #4 and the board of review's comparables #2, #3, and #4, which are similar to the subject in

dwelling size, age, location, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$83,640 to \$112,749 or from \$33.20 to \$43.31 per square foot of above grade living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$102,123 or \$39.19 per square foot of above grade living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 17, 2022
	14:1016
	Mana

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Charles Dickson, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085