

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mark Parsky
DOCKET NO.:	20-01392.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-04-311-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Parsky, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$93,426
IMPR.:	\$183,982
TOTAL:	\$277,408

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,130 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1985 and is approximately 35 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a 183 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 506 square feet of building area. The property has a 20,634 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with one-story or two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,967 to 3,738 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 34 to 36 years old. Each property has an unfinished full basement, central air

conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage ranging in size from 552 to 756 square feet of building area. The comparables were located from approximately .05 to .32 of one mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$131,979 to \$164,297 or from \$43.44 to \$47.56 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$142,415.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$277,408. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$183,982 or \$58.78 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,113 to 3,204 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1985 and 1986. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with two having finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 547 to 760 square feet of building area. The comparables are located from approximately .02 to .07 of one mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$148,217 to \$200,050 or from \$47.02 to \$63.27 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on nine comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board gives little weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to differences from the subject dwelling in style and the fact the comparable is not located as near to the subject property as the remaining comparables submitted by the parties. The Board also finds that appellant's comparables #3 and #4 are not as similar to the subject dwelling in size as the remaining comparables in the record, therefore, these two comparables are given less weight. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and the comparables submitted by the board of review. These comparables are most similar to the subject in size and have similar features as the subject property. These six comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$131,979 to \$200,050 or from \$43.44 to \$63.27 per square foot of living area. Removing the comparables at the low end and high end of the range results in a revised range from \$148,217 to \$197,756 or from \$47.02 to \$61.72 pe square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$183,982 or \$58.78 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 20, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Parsky, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085