

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Voigt

DOCKET NO.: 20-01319.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-21-113-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Voigt, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$114,523 **IMPR.:** \$208,161 **TOTAL:** \$322,684

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,695 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1982 and is approximately 38 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 915 square foot garage. The property also has a 320 square foot inground swimming pool.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 39,356 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject property is found in its property record card provided by the board of review that disclosed the subject property has an inground swimming pool, which was not reported by the appellant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Board finds the only description of the subject's site size was provided by the appellant.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within .32 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,630 to 5,952 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 31 to 52 years old. The appellant reported that each comparable has a full basement, two of which have finished area. The comparables each have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage that ranges in size from 744 to 1,080 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$140,885 to \$172,602 or from \$29.00 to \$33.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$175,548 or \$30.82 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$322,684. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$208,161 or \$36.55 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within .34 mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 5,047 to 5,827 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 1986 with comparables #2 and #3 having effective construction dates of 1984 and 1982, respectively. The board of review reported that each comparable has a full or partial basement, two of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces, and an attached garage that ranges in size from 720 to 966 square feet of building area. In addition, the Board reported that comparable #2 has a greenhouse, comparables #3 and #5 each have an inground swimming pool, and comparable #5 has a 1,199 square foot detached garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$224,420 to \$306,496 or from \$40.08 to \$57.10 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their older age or smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables, which are similar to the subject in location, design, age and dwelling size, but have features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Comparables #1, #2 and #4 each lack an inground swimming pool which is a feature of the subject suggesting upward adjustments would be necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject. Comparables #1 and #3 each have a finished basement, unlike the subject, suggesting a downward adjustment for this difference may be appropriate. Comparable #5 has an additional detached garage which is not a feature of the subject suggesting a downward adjustment may be necessary. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$224,470 to \$306,496 or from \$40.08 to \$57.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$208,161 or \$36.55 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 23, 2022
	1111216
	Man O
	C11 £ 41 D 1 D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

David Voigt, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085