

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marisa Kowalsky DOCKET NO.: 20-01075.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-36-307-076

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marisa Kowalsky, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$56,341 **IMPR.:** \$216,054 **TOTAL:** \$272,395

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,357 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include a basement, that has a 1,244 square foot recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached 441 square foot garage. The property has a 9,490 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal.² In support of this argument the appellant submitted assessment information

¹ The Board finds the subject has a 1,244 square foot basement recreation room, based on the subject's Property Record Card (PRC) submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

² The Board finds two of the appellant's comparables have basement recreation rooms, based on their Property Record Cards (PRC's) submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

on three equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings containing from 2,831 to 3,878 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 2000. The comparables have basements, two of which have a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached garage ranging in size from 506 to 682 square feet of building area. One comparable has a swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$160,472 to \$221,237 or from \$45.75 to \$63.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$272,395. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$216,054 or \$64.36 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject, in addition to information on the appellant's comparables. The board of review's comparables are improved with two-story dwellings containing from 2,915 to 3,260 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1994 to 2001. The comparables have basements, four of which have a recreation room, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 441 to 484 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$188,018 to \$216,288 or from \$63.43 to \$67.59 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, as well as the board of review's comparable #5, due to their differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject. In addition, the appellant's comparable #2 has a swimming pool, unlike the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables are similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$197,336 to \$221,237 or from \$63.17 to \$67.59 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$216,054 or \$64.36 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fem	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 18, 2022
	111:10 16
	Man O
-	Clark of the Decrease Tare Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marisa Kowalsky, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085