

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steven Greenberg DOCKET NO.: 20-01033.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-28-223-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Greenberg, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,419 **IMPR.:** \$85,547 **TOTAL:** \$121,966

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 1,599 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1957 and is approximately 63 years old. Features of the home include a lower level with finished area and central air conditioning. The property has an approximate 7,300 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .60 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property is in the property record card submitted by the board of review.

brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,284 to 1,952 square feet of living area. The dwellings are either 64 or 66 years old. The appellant reported that one comparable has a concrete slab foundation with finished area, one comparable has a lower level with finished area and one comparable has a partial basement with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning. Two comparables each have one fireplace. Two comparables each have a garage with either 383 or 484 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$61,122 to \$95,830 or from \$38.88 to \$49.09 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$72,647 or \$45.43 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$121,966. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$85,547 or \$57.30 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .59 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,453 to 1,624 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1950 to 1962. The comparables each have a lower level, one of which has finished area. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 570 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an open frame porch. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$85,571 to \$95,074 or from \$56.64 to \$59.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their lack of a basement, dissimilar style and/or smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, style, age, dwelling size and most features. However, each comparable has one fireplace and one comparable has an open frame porch that are not features of the subject suggesting downward adjustments would be necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject; and three comparables each lack finished area which is a feature of the subject suggesting upward adjustments are necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$85,571 to \$95,074 or from \$56.64 to \$59.42 per square foot of living area. The

subject's improvement assessment of \$85,547 or \$57.30 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in the record on an overall basis but within the range on a per square foot abasis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 23, 2022
	1111216
	Man O
	C11 £ 41 D 1 D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Steven Greenberg, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085