

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Boone
DOCKET NO.: 20-00833.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-22-103-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Boone, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$40,207 **IMPR.:** \$130,095 **TOTAL:** \$170,302

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,585 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1994 and is approximately 26 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 957 square foot attached garage. The property has an approximate 13,503 square foot site and is located in Mundelein, Fremont Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .13 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,816 to 3,365 square feet of

living area. The dwellings range in age from 17 to 22 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, one of which is a walk-out style with finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 556 to 672 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$114,080 to \$160,630 or from \$40.51 to \$47.74 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$116,066 or \$44.90 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$170,302. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$130,095 or \$50.33 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .19 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,437 to 2,829 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1994 to 2000. Each comparable has a full or partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 583 to 802 square feet of building area. Comparable #2 also has an open frame porch. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$120,060 to \$147,544 or from \$48.94 to \$52.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their unfinished basements or larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, basement finish and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$120,060 to \$147,544 or from \$48.94 to \$52.15 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$130,095 or \$50.33 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
Ch	airman
a de la companya della companya dell	Solat Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	te: September 20, 2022	
	Middle 15	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

David Boone, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085