

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rustem Aldagarov DOCKET NO.: 20-00443.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-31-103-019

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rustem Aldagarov, the appellant, by attorney Gregory Riggs, of Tax Appeals Lake County in Lake Zurich; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,888 IMPR.: \$198,460 TOTAL: \$254,348

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of Dryvit exterior construction with 4,287 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 936 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 96,268 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted a Multiple Listing Service sheet for comparable #1 and a grid analysis with information on three comparable sales located within 0.47 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 51,003 to 70,104 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction that range in size from 4,548 to 5,237 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 2002.

Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 824 to 864 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The properties sold from March 2019 to May 2020 for prices ranging from \$519,900 to \$675,000 or from \$99.27 to \$140.86 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$196,647 which reflects a market value of \$590,000 or \$137.63 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$254,348. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$764,037 or \$178.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2020 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located from 0.30 of a mile to 3.04 miles from the subject property. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #2. The comparables have sites that range in size from 35,590 to 102,800 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and Dryvit exterior construction that range in size from 3,051 to 4,894 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1995 to 2002. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 740 to 920 square feet of building area. Comparable #3 has an inground swimming pool. The properties sold in March 2019 and September 2020 for prices ranging from \$595,000 to \$895,000 or from \$140.74 to \$195.02 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains six comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #3 and #4 which are located more distant from the subject than other comparables in the record and/or have an inground swimming pool, a feature lacking in the subject property.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining comparables, including the common property, which are more similar to the subject in location, design and other features but have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, dwelling size and basement finish. These comparables sold from March 2019 to September 2020 for prices ranging from \$519,900 to \$675,000 or from \$99.27 to \$195.02 per square foot of living area, including land. The

subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$764,037 or \$178.22 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on an overall basis and within the range on a per square foot basis. However, after considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, such as age, site size, dwelling size and basement finish, the Board finds a preponderance of the evidence supports the subject's assessment and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

October 18, 2022
111216
Clark of the Property Terr Appeal Person

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Rustem Aldagarov, by attorney: Gregory Riggs Tax Appeals Lake County 830 West IL Route 22 Suite 286 Lake Zurich, IL 60047

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085