

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Saul Ferris

DOCKET NO.: 20-00202.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-35-104-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Saul Ferris, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$48,922 **IMPR.:** \$148,511 **TOTAL:** \$197,433

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 4,061 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2016 and is approximately 4 years old. Features of the home include a full walk-out basement, central air conditioning, a 662 square foot attached garage and other improvements including two wood decks, an open frame porch, a 214 square foot open balcony, and a metal utility shed. The property has an approximate 106,560 square foot site and is located in Wadsworth, Newport Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review disclosed that the subject property has a walk-out basement and other improvements not reported nor disputed by the appellant.

comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .31 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 3,121 to 4,134 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to 20 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 665 to 853 square foot of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$92,075 to \$131,690 or from \$29.50 to \$31.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$125,992 or \$31.02 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$197,433. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$148,511 or \$36.57 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on one equity comparable with the same neighborhood code as the subject and located within .15 mile from the subject. The comparable is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,405 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2014. The comparable has an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 1,066 square feet of building area. The board of review also reported that the comparable has a wood deck and an open masonry porch. The comparable has an improvement assessment of \$124,944 or \$36.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains five suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the parties' comparables are similar to the subject in location and design, but that all differ from the subject in age, dwelling size, some features and/or other improvements. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$92,075 to \$131,690 or from \$29.50 to \$36.69 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$148,511 or \$36.57 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	hairman
a R	Aster Soffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 20, 2022
	111:11216
	Man Os
<u>-</u>	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Saul Ferris, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085