

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Prasanna Raghavan
DOCKET NO .:	19-55868.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	31-03-202-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Prasanna Raghavan, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law, in Lake Zurich, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$1,056
IMPR.:	\$3,570
TOTAL:	\$4,626

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a 2018 tax year Final Administrative Decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) in order to challenge the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story single-family dwelling with 1,216 square feet of living area of frame exterior construction. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 and is approximately 47 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and central air conditioning. The property has a 1,408 square foot site and is located in Country Club Hills, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as is assigned to the subject and within .16 of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 1,260 to 1,608 square feet of land area and are each improved with a Class 2-95 two-story dwelling of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction. The homes are either 46 or 47

years old and range in size from 1,183 to 1,320 square feet of living area. Features include full basements, where two comparables have recreation rooms. Three comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables sold from April 2018 to December 2019 for prices ranging from \$40,100 to \$48,000 or from \$32.13 to \$38.65 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced total assessment of \$4,626.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$5,458. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$54,580 or \$44.88 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for Class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In response to the appeal, the board of review asserted that the subject sold on April 27, 2017 via Special Warranty Deed from U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as owner and trustee for Newlands Asset Holding Trust, to the appellant as one of the buyers for \$21,000. In support of this contention, the board of review submitted an Unofficial Copy of the Special Warranty Deed for the transaction.

In further support of its contention of the correct assessment, besides including the subject's sale price in the grid analysis, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as is assigned to the subject and within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The parcels range in size from 1,120 to 1,853 square feet of land area and are each improved with a Class 2-95 one-story or a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction. The homes range in age from 38 to 40 years old and range in size from 1,162 to 1,342 square feet of living area. Features include concrete slab foundations and two comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable also has a one-car garage. The comparables sold from January 2017 to December 2019 for prices ranging from \$57,999 to \$95,000 or from \$43.22 to \$72.74 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on the foregoing evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant through counsel asserted the board of review comparables are each dissimilar to the subject in foundation type and garage feature which is not an amenity of the subject. Additionally, the appellant noted that board of review comparable #2 which sold in 2017 is a "remote" sale. Although the appellant's counsel did not comment on the purported April 2017 sale of the subject property via Special Warranty Deed, the appellant did argue that appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 were the best comparables in the record, supporting a further reduction request to \$4,145.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Besides the reported and unrefuted April 2017 sale of the subject property for \$21,000, the parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to each of the board of review comparables which have concrete slab foundations rather than a full basement like the subject property. The Board has also given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #3 and #5 each of which have finished basement area, as compared to the subject's unfinished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the April 2017 sale of the subject along with consideration of appellant's comparable sales #1, #2 and #4 which are each relatively similar to the subject in location, age, design, foundation type and some features. Comparables #1 and #4 are inferior to the subject and necessitate upward adjustments to account for the lack of air conditioning amenity which is a feature of the subject. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$40,100 to \$45,000 or from \$32.13 to \$38.04 per square foot of living area, including land, and the subject sold some 20 months prior to the lien date at issue of January 1, 2019 for \$21,000 or \$17.27 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$56,160 or \$46.18 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the 2017 sale of the subject and the range established by the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a per-square-foot basis.

Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the sale of the subject from 2017 and the best comparable sales in the record when compared to the subject for differences, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's original request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Prasanna Raghavan, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602