



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tariq Butt  
DOCKET NO.: 19-50633.001-R-1 through 19-50633.002-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tariq Butt, the appellant(s), by attorney Dimitrios Trivizas, of Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd. in Skokie; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
19-50633.001-R-1	17-21-213-017-0000	30,463	61,371	\$91,834
19-50633.002-R-1	17-21-213-049-0000	6,359	0	\$6,359

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of an owner-occupied, two-story single-family residence of masonry construction with 2,188 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. The property has a 2,649 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted three equity comparables for consideration, either located on the subject's block or within its subarea. The comparables were improved with single-family residences of either masonry or frame and masonry construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 19 to 28 years old; in size from 2,188 to 2,323 square feet

of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$21.68 to \$26.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested an assessment of \$90,260.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$91,834. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$61,371 or \$28.05 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, all located on the subject's block. These comparables were improved with either two-story or three-story single-family residences of masonry construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 22 to 25 years old; in size from 2,308 to 2,373 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$28.12 to \$29.92 per square foot of living area.

In rebuttal, the appellant stated the board of review's comparables #2, #3, and #4, should either be stricken or given minimal weight because they are three-story building while the subject property is a two-story building. Further, the appellant stated the board of review did not disclose the nature of the sales transaction nor indicate the underlying components that influenced the transaction for its comparable #4.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *appellant comparables #2, and #3* and *board of review comparables*. These comparables were given greater weight due to their number of stories as well as proximity relative to the subject. Board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4, although close to the subject in proximity, were given lesser weight because they were three-story dwellings compared to being a two-story dwelling like the subject. The selected comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$25.47 to \$29.92 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$28.05 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 26, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tariq Butt, by attorney:  
Dimitrios Trivizas  
Dimitrios P. Trivizas, Ltd.  
4957 Oakton Street  
No. 217  
Skokie, IL 60077

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602