

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ronald M. Aichholzer DOCKET NO.: 19-48013.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-23-101-034-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ronald M. Aichholzer, the appellant and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,505 **IMPR.:** \$25,447 **TOTAL:** \$28,952

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,340 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 70 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 3,690 square foot site and is located in Skokie, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located from one-tenth of a mile to 2 miles from the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,152 to 1,558 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 67 to 70 years

old. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which is partially finished and two of which have recreation rooms. Three comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables each have a fireplace and four comparables have either a 1-car, a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$15,635 to \$22,910 or from \$11.20 to \$15.24 per square foot of living area.

The appellant asserted that the subject's assessment was increased by 37.1% in 2019. The appellant argued that the realtors market data does not support an increase of this magnitude and that the market data for Skokie shows an increase of 2.99% in sales prices over the past three years and predicts a decrease of 3.3% for 2021.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$18,500 or \$13.81 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,952. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$25,447 or \$18.99 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within one-quarter of a mile of the subject property and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-05 two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,183 to 1,334 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 69 to 71 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, three of which have recreation rooms. The comparables each have central air conditioning and three comparables have either a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$24,346 to \$27,498 or from \$20.49 to \$20.75 per square foot of living area. The board of review noted that its comparables are close to the subject in age, size and proximity, with the furthest comparable being approximately one-quarter of a mile from the subject and have the same or higher assessed values than the subject. The board of review also reported that 2019 was the first year of the general assessment cycle for the subject property. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As an initial matter, the Board has given no weight to the appellant's argument concerning the increase in the subject's assessment from 2018 to 2019 by a purported 37.1%. The Board finds that the mere fact that an assessment increases from one year to the next does not of itself

establish the assessment is incorrect. To demonstrate the assessment at issue is incorrect the taxpayer needs to submit relevant, credible and probative equity or market data. The Board finds the appellant submitted only equity information but provided no credible comparable sales or a credible appraisal to challenge the correctness of the subject's assessment on market value grounds. Furthermore, the Board finds rising or falling assessments from year to year on a percentage basis do not indicate whether a particular property is inequitably assessed. The assessment methodology and actual assessments together with their salient characteristics of properties must be compared and analyzed to determine whether uniformity of assessments exists. The record disclosed 2019 is the first year of the general assessment cycle. The Board finds in general assessment years the assessors are required by the Property Tax Code to actually view and determine as near as practicable the value of each property as of January 1 of that year. This may result in many properties having increased or decreased assessments from the prior general assessment period of varying amounts and percentage rates depending on prevailing market conditions. Therefore, the Board gives this aspect of the appellant's argument no weight.

The parties provided nine suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5, as well as board of review comparable #4 due to their dissimilar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject or their distant location from the subject being 2 miles away.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #4, along with board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3. These comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and age but have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$18,355 to \$27,498 or from \$13.56 to \$20.75 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$25,447 or \$18.99 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 III.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 19, 2021
	111-11716
	Mano
	Clade of the Decrete Terr Access Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Ronald M. Aichholzer 8716 Springfield Skokie, IL 60076

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602