



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Matthew McKown  
DOCKET NO.: 19-46476.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 03-30-106-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew McKown, the appellant(s), by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Inverness; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,125  
**IMPR.:** \$29,863  
**TOTAL:** \$33,988

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 74-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 1,211 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a one-car garage. The property has a 6,600 square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and inequity as the bases of the appeal. In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted four sales comparable with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The appellant reported that the comparables were located either within the same subarea or within a ¼ mile radius of the subject. The comparables are described as single-family dwellings of either masonry or frame and masonry construction. They sold from

January 2017 to December 2018 for prices ranging from \$196.00 to \$260.46 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with varying degrees of similarities to the subject. The comparables had the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$17.33 to \$21.79 per square foot of living area. Based on the submitted evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$23,740.

The appellant also indicated a contention of law as a basis of this appeal. Included in the submitted evidence was a brief entitled "Brief in support of Residential Appeal" which provided argument that the subject property was being inequitably assessed and overvalued. Neither the appellant's brief nor submitted evidence provided evidence to support a contention of law as a basis for this appeal. As such, the board will only consider the appellant's overvaluation and inequity argument.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$33,988 with an improvement assessment of \$29,863 or \$24.66 per square foot of building area. The total assessment reflects a market value of \$339,880 or \$283.23 per square foot of living area using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted both sales and equity information on four submitted comparables. The comparable sales occurred from June 2016 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$300.34 to \$308.80 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review reported that the equity comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$23.49 to \$25.89 per square foot of living area. All four comparables were located within a ¼ radius of the subject.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's four suggested comparable properties fail to support the assessed valuation because they are superior to the subject in numerous characteristics. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Prior to a scheduled January 3, 2024, hearing before a PTAB Administrative Law Judge the parties entered into a written agreement to waive hearing and have a decision rendered based on the previously submitted evidence.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property.

86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 through #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #4. These equity comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$20.55 to \$25.89 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24.66 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering the differences and similarities between the suggested comparables and the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); *Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd.*, 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4. These comparables had sale prices ranging from \$196.00 to \$305.63 per square foot of building area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$283.23 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering the differences and similarities between the suggested comparables and the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Matthew McKown, by attorney:  
Stephanie Park  
Park & Longstreet, P.C.  
1620 W Colonial Pkwy.  
Inverness, IL 60067

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602