



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Fountain Terrace Condo Assn.
DOCKET NO.: 19-46332.001-R-1 through 19-46332.024-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Fountain Terrace Condo Assn., the appellant(s), by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
19-46332.001-R-1	10-28-105-063-1001	625	10,942	\$11,567
19-46332.002-R-1	10-28-105-063-1002	625	10,942	\$11,567
19-46332.003-R-1	10-28-105-063-1003	625	10,942	\$11,567
19-46332.004-R-1	10-28-105-063-1004	625	10,942	\$11,567
19-46332.005-R-1	10-28-105-063-1005	853	14,921	\$15,774
19-46332.006-R-1	10-28-105-063-1006	853	14,921	\$15,774
19-46332.007-R-1	10-28-105-063-1007	853	14,921	\$15,774
19-46332.008-R-1	10-28-105-063-1008	853	14,921	\$15,774
19-46332.009-R-1	10-28-105-063-1009	872	15,253	\$16,125
19-46332.010-R-1	10-28-105-063-1010	872	15,253	\$16,125
19-46332.011-R-1	10-28-105-063-1011	872	15,253	\$16,125
19-46332.012-R-1	10-28-105-063-1012	872	15,253	\$16,125
19-46332.013-R-1	10-28-105-063-1013	549	9,616	\$10,165
19-46332.014-R-1	10-28-105-063-1014	549	9,616	\$10,165
19-46332.015-R-1	10-28-105-063-1015	549	9,616	\$10,165
19-46332.016-R-1	10-28-105-063-1016	549	9,616	\$10,165
19-46332.017-R-1	10-28-105-063-1017	1,061	18,569	\$19,630
19-46332.018-R-1	10-28-105-063-1018	1,061	18,569	\$19,630
19-46332.019-R-1	10-28-105-063-1019	1,061	18,569	\$19,630
19-46332.020-R-1	10-28-105-063-1020	1,061	18,569	\$19,630
19-46332.021-R-1	10-28-105-063-1021	606	10,610	\$11,216
19-46332.022-R-1	10-28-105-063-1022	834	14,590	\$15,424
19-46332.023-R-1	10-28-105-063-1023	834	14,590	\$15,424
19-46332.024-R-1	10-28-105-063-1024	834	14,590	\$15,424

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property contains 24 residential condominium units contained in a 49-year-old, 24-unit residential condominium building of masonry construction. Each unit was designated by a Property Index Number (PIN). The property is situated on 23,700 square feet of land in Niles Township, Cook County. It is a Class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested comparable sales of units in the subject building. Two sold in 2017; two in 2018, for a total consideration of \$551,500. The appellant submitted a brief in which it argued for a 10.00% adjustment to this total for personal property, resulting in an adjusted total consideration of \$496,350. These sales represented 16.80% of the common elements. The result was a suggested market value of \$3,279,762. The appellant requested an assessment reduction to \$295,179.

The appellant also submitted a brief in which it argued the subject's market value was reduced due to COVID-19.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$350,532. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$3,505,320 when applying the 2019 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a condominium analysis with information on suggested comparable sales for five units in the building. These units sold from 2017 through 2018 for a total consideration of \$749,900. The units sold comprised of 21.00% the common elements of the building. The result was a full value of the property at \$3,570,952.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or

construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the analysis of the board of review. This analysis was based on five recent sales of units in the building; the appellant's analysis was based on four. The appellant's analysis argued for a 10.00% personal property adjustment without any evidence to support it; the board of review's analysis did not include such adjustment. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant did not submit reliable evidence of whether the subject property merits an assessment reduction due to COVID-19. The Board considers an assessment appeal without reference to any assumptions and conclusions made by another agency. "Under the principles of a de novo proceeding, the Property Tax Appeal Board shall not presume the action of the board of review or the assessment of any local assessing officer to be correct." 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(a). "Under the scheme created by the PTAB statute, an appeal to the PTAB does not afford taxpayers the right to request that a higher authority rule upon the correctness of a lower authority's findings. Rather, it affords taxpayers and taxing bodies a 'second bite at the apple,' *i.e.*, an opportunity to have assessments recomputed by a reviewing authority whose power is not circumscribed by any previous assessment." LaSalle Partners v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 269 Ill.App.3d 621, 629 (2nd Dist. 1995).

Moreover, the Board has no statutory authority to reduce assessments because of the COVID-19 pandemic. As an administrative agency, the Property Tax Appeal Board only has the authority that the General Assembly confers on it by statute. Spiel v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 309 Ill.App.3d 373, 378 (2nd Dist. 1999). To the extent that the Board acts outside its statutory authority, it acts without jurisdiction. See Board of Education of the City of Chicago v. Board of Trustees of the Public School Teachers Pension & Retirement Fund of Chicago, 395 Ill.App.3d 735, 739–40 (1st Dist. 2009).

The appellant failed to present reliable evidence to support the argument that COVID-19 affected the value of the subject. Consequently, it is impossible to conclude the subject property was not uniformly assessed due to COVID-19 or its market value adversely affected to any extent. The Board finds the appellant's request for an assessment reduction based on a purported market effect of COVID-19 is without merit.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 19, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, **YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS.** A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Fountain Terrace Condo Assn., by attorney:
Noah J. Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602