



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lawrence Hickey
DOCKET NO.: 19-40229.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 07-15-410-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lawrence Hickey, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 4,603
IMPR.: \$19,468
TOTAL: \$24,071

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction that has 1,436 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 63 years old. Features include a concrete slab foundation, 1.5 bathrooms and a two-car garage. The subject is a Class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property is located in Schaumburg Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of four assessment comparables located in same neighborhood code and from .1 to 1.3 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of Class 2-03, one-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that are 62 or 63 years old. The comparables have 2 or 2.5 bathrooms and two comparables have a one-car garage. The dwellings range in size from 1,456 to 1,560 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging

from \$17,141 to \$18,854 or from \$11.70 to \$12.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$24,071. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,468 or \$13.56 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four assessment comparables located within ¼ of a mile with one comparable located in close proximity along the same street as the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that are 63 years old. The comparables have concrete slab or crawl space foundations, 1.5 or 2.5 bathrooms, and a 1-car or 2.5-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning. The dwellings have 1,401 or 1,436 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,048 to \$19,768 or from \$13.60 to \$14.04 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The record contains eight assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparables #1 through #3 submitted by the appellant. Comparables #1 and #2 are not close in proximity being located 1 and 1.3 miles from the subject, respectively, while comparable #3 lacks a garage and has one more bathroom when compared to the subject. Similarly, the Board gave less weight to comparables #2 and #4 submitted by the board of review due to the fact they each have one more bathroom when compared to the subject. The Board finds the three remaining comparables are most similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,854 to \$19,768 or from \$12.95 to \$13.77 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,468 or \$13.56 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar assessment comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented disclosed that properties located in the

same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lawrence Hickey
400 Arizona Blvd
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602