

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT:   | James Westergreen  |
|--------------|--------------------|
| DOCKET NO.:  | 19-38930.001-R-1   |
| PARCEL NO .: | 17-06-431-007-0000 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Westergreen, the appellant(s), by attorney Anthony M. Farace, of Amari & Locallo in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND:  | \$11,050  |
|--------|-----------|
| IMPR.: | \$90,220  |
| TOTAL: | \$101,270 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 19-year-old, three-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction with 4,511 square feet of living area. Features of the building include a basement apartment and three and a half bathrooms. The property has a 3,250 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. They were improved with a multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 10 to 37 years; and in size from 4,374 to 4,742 square feet of living area; and in assessment from \$19.04 to \$20.28 per square foot. One comparable property had a slab foundation, two comparable properties had an unfinished full basement, and one comparable

property had a full basement with a formal recreation room. Each comparable property had between three and six full bathrooms.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$106,097. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$95,047 or \$21.07 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. They are improved with a two-story or three-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 13 to 25 years; in size from 3,055 to 4,864 square feet of living area; and in assessment from \$21.19 to \$28.02 per square foot. Two comparable properties a basement apartment and two comparable properties had a full basement with a formal recreation room. Each comparable property had between three and a half and eight full bathrooms.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4*. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19.04 to \$20.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21.07 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 15, 2022

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

James Westergreen, by attorney: Anthony M. Farace Amari & Locallo 734 North Wells Street Chicago, IL 60654

## COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602