

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jester Properties LLC DOCKET NO.: 19-36931.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-02-414-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jester Properties LLC, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,503 **IMPR.:** \$23,898 **TOTAL:** \$28,401

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2019 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 3,671 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 102 years old. Features of the building include a basement finished with an apartment. The property has a 3,217 square foot site located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,256 to 3,882 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age 93 to 108 years old.

The comparables each have a basement. One comparable has four fireplaces. Three comparables each have a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,192 to \$21,737 or from \$5.28 to \$5.62 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,117 or \$5.48 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,401. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$23,898 or \$6.51 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables which have the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,688 to 3,612 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 93 to 105 years old. Each comparable has a basement with one finished with an apartment. Two comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,164 to \$29,573 or from \$6.66 to \$8.19 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3 as well as board of review comparables #2 and #3 which differ from the subject in building size and/or have a garage, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #4 and board of review comparable #1 which are overall more similar to the subject in age, building size, and features. The two most similar comparables have improvement assessments of \$21,737 and \$29,573 or of \$5.62 and \$8.19 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject property's improvement assessment of \$23,898 or \$6.51 per square foot of building area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fee
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 16, 2023
	111-10-16
	Man O
	Clark of the Donorate Tar Annual Daniel

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jester Properties LLC, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602